## Learning the Smoothness of Weakly Dependent Functional Times Series

# DATA

#### Motivation

We aim to study stationary functional time series (FTS) where the trajectory are measured with error at discretely, randomly sampled, domain points. Our goal is to estimate the local regularity parameters of the trajectories for FTS in the context of weak dependency, and to derive non-asymptotic bounds for the concentration of these estimators. Indeed, a majority of inference problems in FDA depends on the local regularity.

#### Weak dependency

Let  $\boldsymbol{X} = (X_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}}$  be a stationary FTS, with continuous paths, defined on the interval I = [0, 1]:  $\succ (\mathcal{H}, \langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\mathcal{H}})$ : space of square integrable functions;  $\succ (\mathcal{C}, \|\cdot\|_{\infty})$ : space of continuous functions on I. The space  $\mathbb{L}^p_{\mathcal{C}}$  is the space of  $\mathcal{C}$ -valued random element X such that

$$\nu_p(X) = (\mathbb{E}[\|X\|_{\infty}^p])^{1/p} < \infty.$$

The process  $\{X_n\}_n$  is  $\mathbb{L}^p_{\mathcal{C}}$  – **m-approximable** if each  $X_n \in \mathbb{L}^p_{\mathcal{C}}$  admits the MA representation:

$$X_n = f(\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n-1}, \ldots),$$

where  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$  are i.i.d. elements in a measurable space S, and  $f: S^{\infty} \to \mathcal{H}$  is measurable. Moreover, we assume that if, for every  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $\{\varepsilon_k^{(n)}\}_k$  is an independent copy of  $\{\varepsilon_n\}_n$  defined on the same probability space, then letting

 $X_n^{(m)} = f(\varepsilon_n, \varepsilon_{n-1}, \dots, \varepsilon_{n-m-1}, \varepsilon_{n-m}^{(n)}, \varepsilon_{n-m-1}^{(n)}, \dots),$ we have

$$\sum_{m\geq 1}\nu_p\left(X_m-X_m^{(m)}\right)<\infty.$$

**Example.** FAR(1) is  $\mathbb{L}^p_{\mathcal{C}} - m$ -approximable:  $X_n(t) = \int_0^1 \beta(t, s) X_{n-1}(s) ds + \varepsilon_n(t)$  $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n\in\mathbb{Z}}$  are *i.i.d.* fBm with Hurst exponent  $H_{\varepsilon}$ . Hassan Maissoro<sup>1,\*</sup>, Valentin Patilea<sup>2</sup>, Myriam Vimond<sup>3</sup>

CREST, Datastorm & ENSAI; hassan.maissoro@datastorm.fr, \* Presenting author <sup>2</sup> CREST, ENSAI; valentin.patilea@ensai.fr <sup>3</sup> CREST, ENSAI; myriam.vimond@ensai.fr

#### The local regularity parameters

We introduce for any u, v close to t, The process X, with non differentiable paths, admits a *local regularity* at  $t \in I$ , with ► local exponent  $H_t \in (0, 1)$ , Let  $t_1 = t - \Delta/2$ ,  $t_3 = t + \Delta/2$ . The estimator of ▶ and local **Hölder constant**  $L_t > 0$ , if  $H_t$  is  $\mathbb{E}\left[ (X(u) - X(v))^2 \right] \approx L_t^2 |u - v|^{2H_t},$ for all  $u, v \in [t - \Delta/2, t + \Delta/2]$  for some  $\Delta > 0$ . A plug-in estimator for  $L_t^2$  is

#### Concentration bounds

Let  $\{X_n\}$  be  $\mathbb{L}^4_{\mathcal{C}} - m$ -approximable. Assume that the  $\mathbb{L}^2$ -risk of smoothing is suitably bounded. Then, for any  $\mu \ge \mu_0$ , for some  $\mu_0$ , and for  $\Delta > 0$  and  $\varphi > 0$  depending on  $\mu$ , we have  $\mathbb{P}\left(|\widehat{H}_t - H_t| > \varphi\right) \le \frac{4\mathfrak{f}_1}{N\varphi^2 \Delta^{4H_t}} +$  $\mathbb{P}\left(\left|\widehat{L_t^2} - L_t^2\right| > \varphi\right) \le \frac{5\mathfrak{l}_1}{N\omega^2 \Delta^{4H_t + 4\varphi}} + \frac{1}{N\omega^2} +$ 

#### **Data observation Framework**

For  $n = 1 \dots N$ , the trajectory  $X_n$  is measured with error at discretely, randomly sampled, domain points:

$$Y_{n,k} = X_n(T_{n,k}) + \varepsilon_{n,k}, \quad 1 \le k \le M_n,$$

where

 $\succ M_1, \ldots, M_N \stackrel{i.i.d.}{\sim} M$  with expectation  $\mu$ ,  $\succ$  the observation times  $T_{n,k} \sim T$  are independent,  $\succ \varepsilon_{n,k} \sim \epsilon$  are independent centered errors, > X, M and T are mutually independent.

For recovering the trajectories, we use the nonparametric estimation to construct an estimator  $X_n$  for each  $X_n$ , using its sampled points  $(Y_{n,k}, T_{n,k})_k$ .





### The local regularity estimators

$$\widehat{\theta}(u,v) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \left\{ \widetilde{X}_n(v) - \widetilde{X}_n(u) \right\}^2$$

$$\widehat{H}_t = \frac{\log(\widehat{\theta}(t_1, t_3)) - \log(\widehat{\theta}(t_1, t))}{2\log(2)}$$

$$\widehat{L}_t^2 = \frac{\widehat{\theta}(t_1, t_3)}{\Delta^{2\widehat{H}_t}}.$$

$$-4\mathfrak{b}\exp\left(-\mathfrak{f}_2N\varphi^2\Delta^{4H_t}\right),$$

$$-5\mathfrak{b}\exp\left(-\mathfrak{l}_2N\varphi^2\Delta^{4H_t+4\varphi}\right),$$

where  $\mathfrak{b} > 0$  is a constant and  $\mathfrak{f}_1, \mathfrak{f}_2, \mathfrak{l}_1, \mathfrak{l}_2 > 0$  are also constants depending on the dependence measure.

#### Simulation

We simulate a FAR(1) where  $\{\varepsilon_n\}$  are i.i.d. 'tieddown' multifractional Brownian motion (see [1]) paths with :

 $\blacktriangleright$  a logistic  $H_t$  function and  $L_t^2 = 4$ , > and a kernel  $\beta(s,t) = \alpha st$ , with  $\alpha = 9/4$ .



Figure: Time series of N = 250 observations of a simulated FAR(1) without error. The last ten functions are shown in the bottom graph.











Patilea.

#### Perspectives

- Build adaptive estimation of :
- > mean and covariance functions,
- > auto-covariance function,
- > dynamic functional principal component,
- $\blacktriangleright$  depth functions, etc.

#### References

- [1] Stilian A. Stoev and Murad S. Taqqu. How rich is the class of multifractional brownian motions? Stochastic Processes and their Applications, 116(2):200-221, 2006.
- [2] Siegfried Hörmann and Piotr Kokoszka. Weakly dependent functional data. The Annals of Statistics, 38(3):1845–1884, 2010.
- [3] Steven Golovkine, Nicolas Klutchnikoff, and Valentin
- Learning the smoothness of noisy curves with application to online curve estimation.
- Electronic Journal of Statistics, 16(1):1485–1560, 2022.
- [4] Weidong Liu, Han Xiao, and Wei Biao Wu. Probabilities and moment inequalities under dependence. Statistica Sinica, 23:1257–1272, 2013.